

**An English Clergyman's Impressions of the
United States.**

12.

In a chapter on education the author mentions three special cases in which a visitor to New York might be deceived by hasty and partial observations. One of these we have already named, to wit, the liability to draw the inference from the relative abundance of circulating liquor at the luncheons and dinners in the hotels and restaurants that there exists among us a widespread dislike and disuse of alcohol. The other two occasions for mistaken deduction are the following: "One might suppose that the American soldier is a man of no sense; remember to have seen a soldier in uniform while I was in the States; that the Americans knew nothing of war; and one might hope when he saw, to the honor of the great city of New York, no courtesans in the streets." But he must be convinced too readily and too soon that no nation, unless it be France, has

ing of bells, the ringing of cars, and the tramping of feet in the streets, and the shouting of the throng in December on which we arrived, the first day of the meeting of Congress after vacation, and we had come from the tranquillities of a village in Virginia. But, though there was a great gathering of Representatives, there was a very small number of Senators. The country was jetted down as noteworthy that, in Washington's population of 230,000, there are only 18,000 persons foreign born, a very small proportion compared with that exhibited in other great American cities. The President, in his opening address to Congress, as in the British House of Commons, the national characteristics are displayed in a variety of types and phases. He is good enough to express the belief that "of course the Representatives are, as a rule, of a higher grade of intelligence than the Senate," and that the "exceptions may be introduced from distant States, and there, with their wares and daunt-

Before giving some striking examples of the merit of this book, considered as a new experiment in the utilization of scientific method, I desire to state, to indicate the law of civilization and decay which the author believes himself to have established. The theory which Mr. Adams has undertaken to work out and test by applying it to the successive facts of history, is based upon the fact that the energy of the universe is of force and energy is of universal application to all nature, and that animal life is one of the outlets through which solar energy is dissipated. Starting from this fundamental proposition, the first deduction is that, as human societies are forms of animal life, these societies must differ in the amount of energy which they receive. As nature has endowed them with more or less abundantly with energetic material. Thought is one of the manifestations of human energy, and, among the earlier and simpler phases of thought, two stand conspicuous, war and greed. Fear, which by stimulating the desire to live, is believed to be the cause of a vicious world, and ultimately develops a prehistoric world; and greed, which dissipates energy in war and trade. Beginning with these premises, the author proceeds to submit that probably the velocity of the social movement is proportional to the amount of energy and mass, and its centralization is proportionate to its velocity; therefore, as human movement is accelerated, society is centralized. In the earlier stages of concentration fear appears to be the channel through which energy sends the readiest outlet; accordingly, in primitive societies, the mental types produced are religious, military, artistic. As consolidation advances, fear yields to greed, and the economic organism supersedes the emotional and martial. Whenever a race is so richly endowed with energy that it is able to produce surplus energy, all its energy in the daily struggle for life, the surplus may be stored in the shape of wealth; and this stock of stored energy may be transferred from community to community, either by conquest or by superiority in economic competition. However large may be the store of energy, it will be dissipated, and, sooner or later, reach the limit of its martial energy, when it must enter on the phase of economic competition. But, as the economic organism radically differs from the emotional and martial, the effect of economic competition is to dissipate energy, and, therefore, the energy amassed by war. When it so happens that surplus energy has accumulated in such bulk as to preponderate over productive energy, it becomes the controlling social energy. Therefore capital is autocratic, and energy tends to give strength those organizations that are able to expend the largest amount of capital. In this last stage of consolidation the economic, and perhaps the scientific, intellect is propagated, while the imagination fades and the emotional, the martial, and the artistic types of manhood decay. The author adds that the energy of the universe is dissipated in the waste, and, in his judgment, the evidence points to the conclusion that, when a highly central

The pass over those portions of this book which deal with the earlier middle ages, the first and second crusades, and the fall of Constantinople, though the reader will find them replete with information and suggestion of no commonplace nature, is due to the fact that the history of the rise of the Templars, because it contains a concise summary of the economic phenomena which had marked the history of France between the ninth and the fourteenth centuries. In the thirteenth century the trend toward decentralization was so strong that the descendants of Charlemagne were men of ability and energy, the defence was so superior to the attack that they could not coerce their vassals, and their domains melted into independent sovereignties. The result was that the king and the monarchy almost a tradition. During the tenth century it seems possible that the royal authority might have been obliterated even to the last trace had it not been for the Church, which was in sore need of a champion. The Church, however, was not without a legitimate line: what they sought was a protector, and, accordingly, they chose, not the descendant of Charlemagne, but Hugh Capet, because he was the best chief of police in France. Even under Hugh Capet, however, the Church and the state were not at all united by the Crusades was felt, subfoundation of an uninterupted; the Capetians were as unable to stem the current as the Carolingians before them. So that, by the middle of the eleventh century, the Church and the domain had become much remembered as the Kingdom of Lothaire had been a century earlier. Up to the Council of Clermont the Kings of France fought a losing battle, and, without the material resources of the Church, they would have been overwhelmed. The Church, within their power domain, even singly such men were almost a counterweight for both Church and Crown; but, when united in a league, especially if allied to one of the great outside feudatories, such as the Duke of Normandy, they were sure to be victorious. The Crusades were to carry numbers of these petty princes to Palestine, where they were often killed or ruined. As their power of resistance weakened, the Crown remained, and eventually the Capetian Kings received the original form of the great fief, a succession of the remains of the great vassals was only a question of time. It is pointed out, however, by Mr. Adams, that the destruction of the local nobility in Syria was the least important part of the social revolution wrought by the Crusades. The power of the barons might thus have been temporarily broken, it could never have been reduced to impotence, unless wealth had grown equal to organizing an overwhelming attack. The accumulation of wealth following the opening of the East, and the consequent increase of wealth, was to cause the incorporation of the communes. Prior to 1095 but one town is known to have been chartered, whereas, within a quarter of a century afterward, free boroughs had sprung up on every side. The commercial revolution at about 1100, about 1150, and the liberal or democratic. The incorporated borough was merely an instrument of trade, and at a certain moment became practically independent, because for a short period traders organized locally before they could amalgamate into a city. The city, however, was not sufficient to pay a police capable of coercing individuals. By the end of a century the lords

barons, whose lot, on the whole, has, according to our author, probably been somewhat better than that of ordinary warriors. The men gifted with the economic intellect, like the Howards, the Duncans, the Percys, the Boleyns, had become great landowners and masters of the State. Between the two accumulated a mass of bold and needy adventurers, who were destined finally, not only to eliminate England, but to shape the destinies of the world. One section of those, the shrewder and more energetic, was bound to rise up and grow rich as merchants, like the founder of the Osborn family, whose descendant became kings of Leeds; or like the celebrated Josiah Child, who, in the reign of William III., controlled the whole Eastern trade of the kingdom. The other section went down to sea and war as slaves, pirates, and conquerors, built up England's colonial empire and established her maritime supremacy. Of this class were Drake and Hawkins, Raleigh, Blake, and Clive. For the soldier or the adventurer there was no room in the modern England after the battle of Flodden. An awkward and inert bourgeoisie more and more supplanted the ancient martial barons; their representatives shrunk from campaigns upon the Continent; and, therefore, for the evicted warrior there was no outlet except toward North America and Asia. The lives of the admirals tell the tale on every page. A proud-nail gulf separated these adventures from the landed capitalists, for they were of an exclusively bellicose type, a type hated and feared by the nation of peace-loving farmers, with the exception of the years of the Commonwealth, when the landlords controlled England from the reformation to the revolution of 1688, a period one hundred and fifty years. Mr Adams does not hesitate to assert that during that interval the most atrocious bloodshed produced a series of wars of national honor and capacity. The difference between the Royal and the Parliamentary armies in the civil war was great as though they had been recruited from different races. Charles had not a single officer of merit, while it is doubtful if any such troops originated by Cromwell. Men like Drake, Blake, and Cromwell were among the most terrible warriors of the world, and they

the chapter on Spain and India, which is one of the strongest in the book. Mr. Adams describes the tremendous effect produced upon British industry through the inflow of treasure resulting from the conquest of a large part of the Spanish Empire, and the consequent increase in Tudor times had ruled, Great Britain afforded small comfort for men like Drake and Hawkins, Raleigh and John Smith. That democracy had genius neither for adventure nor for war, and few Western nations have had a more illustrious example of this than England in the Stuart's. Yet, beneath the inert mass of the centralized oligarchy, seethed an energy which was to recanalize the world; and, when capital had accumulated to a certain point, the men who gave it an outlet laid their grasp upon the State, and the State, in turn, laid its grasp upon the world kingdom. The change was radical; at once social, political, and religious. The strongholds of the Tories had been the royal prerogative. The victors lodged the powers of the Crown in a committee chosen by the House of Commons. The dogmas of the religious rights immediately vanished, and the dogmas that distinguished Anglicanism. Though reversed by the Tudors, this great trend of the history of Henry VIII. had been at least a survival of an imaginative age; and, when the merchants swept away, all the signs of idealism disappeared. The English civilization became a purely materialistic phenomenon, the truth is that, in 1688, when the momentum of England suddenly increased, the change was equivalent to the conquest of the island by a new race. Among the family of European nations, England distinguished herself by the success of the Punic wars. Almost instantly she entered on a career of conquest unparalleled in modern history. Of the hundred and twenty-five years between the *Boine* and *Waterloo*, she passed some seventy in waging ferocious conflicts, and the world was divided between her and sea, the mistress of a mighty empire, the owner of incalculable wealth and the centre of the world's exchanges.

Let us glance for a moment at the enormous, arduous, and seldom appreciated, service rendered to his country by the illustrious statesman. Preliminary to the consideration of the subject, it is necessary to be regarded is that, although the country was teeming of bank notes a certain degree of relief had been secured from the pre-

to indicate in one short paragraph the significance of the book. The author sums up the life of European history during the last half of the last century, and the first half of the present century which preceded the close of the Napoleonic wars. From the Crusades to Waterloo, the producers dominated Europe, the money lenders often faring hardly, as is proved by the treatment of the Jews. From the time of Waterloo the lowest, all had wares to sell: the merchant, the peasant, the soldier, the sailor, the farmer, the manufacturer, the trader in goods, and all were interested in maintaining the value of their commodities, relatively low, for they lost when selling on a falling market. By degrees, as competition sharpened, after the reformation a type was developed which could not sell its wares, but the merchant, the producer, man like Child and Houston, endeavored to sell his goods at a profit, and to get more and more credit. Gradually they were ruined, until they became the ruling class, and the money lenders, the bankers, in 1688 to 1815. At length, through the very brilliancy of their money making, they had accumulated so abundantly that they were no longer so rapidly accumulated until it prevailed over all other forms of force, and, by so doing, they became the dominant power. The money lenders were the modern bankers. With the advent of the bankers a profound change came over the world. The money lender, who had suffered had from the outset taught the producer that a good was not to be sold, but to be tendered rather to be than fall in value naturally to coin. The opposite instinct possessed the money lender, to accumulate, to hoard, when money appreciated or when the borrower was to part with more property to pay his debt than he had when he borrowed. When we recollect, in the day the obligation was completed, that in his hand the close of the century, the money lender had the power to control the life and the possession of men of the latter half of the century, and the variety of the economic interests, to be mentioned in the list of which perhaps the most conspicuous example is the family of Rothschild.

M. W. H.